UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,223 | 02/14/2006 | Wolfgang Zirwas | 14541680 | 6270 |
| 21171 STAAS & HAI | 7590 03/01/201 SEY LLP | 1 | EXAMINER LAIN ANKLIP | |
| SUITE 700 | | JAIN, ANKUR | | |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/01/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/568,223 | ZIRWAS, WOLFGANG | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | <u> </u> | | | |
| | ANKUR JAIN | 2618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l. | dress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>12 August 2010</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | nendment which pla | aces the | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) 140 confected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire in | nterest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | king court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| A call was made. No reply to the Non-Final Rejection | on mailed on 12 August 2010 has | been received. | | | | |
| /DUC M NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 | | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to | | | |